



E-ISSN: 2706-9575
P-ISSN: 2706-9567
IJARM 2020; SP 2(2): 15-16

Dr. Kruttika Naik
Assistant Professor,
Department of Pathology, Sri
Siddhartha Institute of
Medical Sciences and Research
Centre, T Begur, Karnataka,
India

International Conference
On
Recent Development and Practices in Medicine
(25-29th OCT, 2020)

**Comparison of effectiveness of flipped classroom
learning over regular classroom learning in the
department of pathology**

Dr. Kruttika Naik

Abstract

The flipped classroom is defined as shifting direct learning out of the large group learning space and moving it into the individual learning space, with the help of one of several technologies. This study puts in an effort to find the effectiveness of the flipped class room when compared to the regular classes.

Keywords: perception score, flipped, classroom, classroom

Introduction

The regular class room is when the teacher and the student share the same time in the classroom and can be somewhat compared to a cohort. But the flipped classroom is learning at different set of time. The students retrospectively reads and then the classroom teaching is held ^[1]. The flipped classroom is defined as “shifting direct learning out of the large group learning space and moving it into the individual learning space, with the help of one of several technologies” ^[2, 3]. Classroom time is spent in discussion, clarification, exercises, or other learning activities to enhance application of knowledge ^[3]. This method is implemented in the Medicine, Dental and other Allied courses ^[4-10]. This study puts in an effort to find the effectiveness of the flipped class room when compared to the regular classes.

Aims and Objectives

To find the effectiveness of the flipped class room when compared to the regular classes.

Materials and Methods

The study was done from October 2018 to April 2019.

Design – Educational intervention will be done by flipped classroom for 6 hrs of lecture in one month duration and performance of the students are compared for two methods along with the perception.

Subject - Students of MBBS Phase -II will be enrolled into the study after obtaining informed consent excluding the students who don't give consent for the study.

Sample size – 150 students of MBBS phase II who are willing to participate.


Methodology: They will be equally divided into two groups by lottery method. One batch will go for traditional lecture classes and the other will be taught using flipped class model. Lecture classes will be taken in six sessions by the researcher. Three sessions are with flipped method (topics given prior to the lecture by power point presentations) and three sessions are regular lectures. After every lecture class the after every lecture class the MCQ test of the students will be taken. The means of the scores will be noted. The mean of all the scores after all the three sessions will be calculated and reported. The exams will be conducted once again after fifteen days and again the mean scores will be calculated

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Kruttika Naik
Assistant Professor,
Department of Pathology, Sri
Siddhartha Institute of
Medical Sciences and Research
Centre, T Begur, Karnataka,
India

Results

Table 1: Independent t test to compare test scores between the two groups immediately after the intervention

	Group	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	P Value
MCQ	Traditional	75	5.63	2.2	<0.001
	Flipped class	75	8.1	1.6	



Graph 1: Mean scores of test scores

Discussion

In the ensuing 100 years, the volume of medical knowledge has exploded, the complexity of the health care system has grown, pedagogical methods have evolved, and unprecedented opportunities for technological support of learners have become available. Yet students are being taught roughly the same way they were taught when the Wright brothers were tinkering at Kitty Hawk. It's time to change the way we educate doctors ^[11].

This approach was first used in the 1990s in elementary and secondary education and was referred to as the "classroom flip" by Baker (2000, p. 9), who also coined the "guide on the side" as compared to the "sage on the stage," which is now the mantra of those who espouse this method of teaching ^[3].

As class attendance, students' learning, and the perceived value of the study increased following participation in the flipped classroom, the authors conclude that this approach warrants careful consideration as educators aim to enhance learning, improve outcomes, and fully equip students to address 21st-century health care needs ^[12].

When the students are engaged in actively processing information by reconstructing that information in such new and personally meaningful ways, they are far more likely to remember it and apply it in new situations ^[13].

In a study conducted by Collen McCabe *et al.* ^[14]. The response rate for the pre-test for the traditional course group was 34% (54/159) and 33% (26/78) for the flipped experiential group. The pre-test knowledge assessment scores were significantly higher in the traditional class teaching group when compared to the flipped experiential group. For post-test knowledge assessment scores, there was a significant effect ($p < 0.001$) with the traditional course receiving higher post-test scores than the flipped experiential group.

Conclusion

The flipped classroom teaching is perhaps the clear winner. It has been proved to be effective in attaining the desired result.

References

1. Anja J, Boevé & Rob R, Meijer & Roel J, Bosker & Jorien, Vugteveen, Rink Hoekstra, Casper Albers J. Implementing the flipped classroom: an exploration of study behaviour and student performance. Higher Education 2017;74:1015-1032.
2. Unal Z, Unal A. Comparison of Student Performance, Student Perception, and Teacher Satisfaction with Traditional versus Flipped Classroom Models. International Journal of Instruction 2017;10(4):145-164.
3. Missildine K, Fountain R, Summers L, Gosselin K. Flipping the classroom to improve student performance and satisfaction. J Nurs Educ 2013;52:597-599.
4. Gubbiyappa KS, Barua A, Das B, Murthy CV, Baloch HZ. Effectiveness of flipped classroom with Poll Everywhere as a teaching-learning method for pharmacy students. Indian J Pharmacol 2016;48:S41-S46.
5. Bossaer JB, Panus P, Stewart DW, Hagemeyer NE, George J. Student Performance in a Pharmacotherapy Oncology Module Before and After Flipping the Classroom. Am. J Pharm. Educ 2016;80:31.
6. Koo CL, Demps EL, Farris C, Bowman JD, Panahi L, Boyle P. Impact of Flipped Classroom Design on Student Performance and Perceptions in a Pharmacotherapy Course. Am. J Pharm. Educ 2016;80:33.
7. Morton DA, Colbert-Getz J. Measuring the impact of the flipped anatomy classroom: The importance of categorizing an assessment by bloom's taxonomy. Anat. Sci. Educ 2016;10:170-175.
8. Presti CR. The Flipped Learning Approach in Nursing Education: A Literature Review. J Nurs. Educ 2016;55:252-257.
9. Chen F, Lui AM, Martinelli SM. A systematic review of the effectiveness of flipped classrooms in medical education. Med. Educ 2017;51:585-597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
10. Betihavas V, Bridgman H, Kornhaber R, Cross M. The evidence for 'flipping out': A systematic review of the flipped classroom in nursing education. Nurse Educ. Today 2016;38:15-21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
11. Prober CG, Heath C. Lecture halls without lectures: a proposal for medical education. N Engl J Med 2012;366:1657-1659.
12. McLaughlin JE, Roth MT, Glatt DM, Gharkholonarehe N, Davidson CA, Griffin LM. The flipped classroom: a course redesign to foster learning and engagement in a health professions school. Acad Med 2014;89:236-243.
13. King A. From sage on the stage to guide on the side. Coll Teach 1993;41:30-5.
14. Colleen McCabe 1, Megan G, Smith 2 ID and Stefanie P. Ferreri; Comparison of Flipped Model to Traditional Classroom Learning in a Professional Pharmacy Course. MDPI, Educ. Sci 2017;7:73. doi:10.3390.