



E-ISSN: 2706-9575
P-ISSN: 2706-9567
www.medicinpaper.net/
IJARM 2019; 1(2): 20-26
Received: 19-05-2019
Accepted: 21-06-2019

Khatab Mahmood Lateef
Ministry of Health, Baghdad
Medical Office, Al-Karkh, Abu
Ghraib General Hospital,
Baghdad, Iraq

Zeyad Tareq Obaid
Ministry of Health, Baghdad
Medical Office, Al-Karkh, Abu
Ghraib General Hospital,
Baghdad, Iraq

Corresponding Author:
Khatab Mahmood Lateef
Ministry of Health, Baghdad
Medical Office, Al-Karkh, Abu
Ghraib General Hospital,
Baghdad, Iraq

Nasal bone fractures and the use of radiographic imaging: An otolaryngologist perspective

Khatab Mahmood Lateef and Zeyad Tareq Obaid

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/27069567.2019.v1.i2a.13>

Abstract

This exploration meant to decide radiologic inclinations of rehearsing otolaryngologists with respect to disconnected nasal bone breaks. An 8-question overview on secluded nasal bone breaks was planned. Diminished utilization of radiography was related with more prominent years by and by and higher recurrence of breaks treated. Otolaryngologists only here and there demand imaging to assess and treat separated nasal bone cracks. At the point when requested, imaging is used all the more frequently among occupants in preparing and non-otolaryngology counseling doctors. This investigation features a chance to teach essential consideration and crisis room suppliers just as otolaryngology occupants on the estimation of far-reaching physical test over radiographic imaging in the work-up of detached nasal breaks.

Keywords: Nasal bone fractures, radiographic imaging, otolaryngologist perspective

Introduction

The nose's focal position and foremost projection on the face make it powerless to horrible damage. Nasal bone breaks are the most well-known sort of facial crack and the third most basic break of the human skeleton. Gruff injury, for example, engine vehicle mishaps, sport wounds, and physical squabbles are the most well-known reasons for nasal breaks. Since numerous nasal breaks are related with various injury, a high level of them are not speedily analyzed and treated. Alongside wrong treatment of a well-perceived break of the nasal pyramid, this regularly prompts optional nasal disfigurements and ceaseless nasal obstacles^[1]. Nasal bone cracks are exceedingly basic in facial injury because of the focal area, noticeable projection, and meager nature of the nasal bones. These breaks most much of the time happen in guys in their second and third many years of life, yet they additionally establish 30% of pediatric facial cracks. Left untreated, these cracks can prompt nasal hindrance including nasal valve breakdown, restorative distortion, and extra-long-haul squeal remembering interminable sinusitis and nasal development impediment for youngsters^[1]. Simultaneous septal damage can prompt further destabilization of the nose, nasal aviation route obstacle, sidelong nasal tip deviation, and diminished tip projection in patients who are not appropriately treated with proper break decrease. The administration of a nasal bone break is reliant upon the clinical introduction. Radiographic imaging is prescribed in the setting of high vitality craniofacial official injury to incorporate loss of awareness. Be that as it may, in the setting of separated nasal injury without proof of other craniofacial wounds or injury, plain film radiographs have more than once been demonstrated to be noncontributory in further administration^[2].

Background

Anatomy: The outside nose is pyramidal fit as a fiddle and is made out of cartilaginous and rigid structures that help the skin, musculature, mucosa, nerves, and vascular structures. The skin and delicate tissue of the nasal extension change in thickness, being slight and freely follower over the dorsum and sides of the nose, and turning out to be thicker and progressively disciple over the tip and alar ligaments. The matched nasal bones are wedge-molded and consolidated at the midline. The lower half of the nasal bone is dainty and wide, while the upper segment is thicker and solidly bolstered by a close enunciation with the frontal bone and frontal procedure of the maxilla. The dainty part is at risk to crack, though the thicker segment is progressively hard to harm. The lower half of the nose is bolstered by

a muddled interrelationship of the upper and lower sidelong ligaments and the nasal septum. The cartilaginous tissues incorporate the horizontal nasal ligaments, the alar ligaments, the septal ligament, and the sesamoid ligaments [3].

The septal or quadrangular ligament goes about as a bent pole, Q forming and supporting the nasal dorsum from the cartilaginous hard intersection (rhinion) to only cephalic to the lobule in the supratip territory. The septal ligament is thicker (2 to 3 mm) where it connects to the hard structure. The caudal edge of the septal ligament discovers its hard furrow in the premaxilla and vomer. There are sinewy associations inside the notch that empower cartilaginous septum motility, allowing slight pivot horizontally when the ligament is packed and, in this manner, diminishing the danger of breakage. The vomer and the opposite plate of the ethmoid bones that eloquent anteriorly with the septal ligament give little help to the upper portion of the nose [4].

Pathogenesis

A few examples of nasal break are perceived, and the degree of the damage continued by the patient is to a great extent controlled by the power and bearing of the blow. The power required to break the nasal bones is not exactly for some other facial bone [5].

A solid power from any heading can comminute the nasal bones, offering ascend to the open books sort of break. At the point when break of the thick bone at the foundation of the nose happens, it is normally connected with cracks of different pieces of the facial skeleton. To examine the mechanics and pathophysiology of nasal cracks, Murray *et al.* applied indistinguishable systems to noses from Le Fortification had utilized numerous prior years on faces [6]. Undeviated crack is the aftereffect of a horizontal power of variable degree (24 to 50 kPa) or a more noteworthy frontal power (350 kPa). The hard dorsum of the nose can be dislodged by the blend of a parallel power running from 16 to 66 kPa with a more noteworthy frontal power (144 to 312 kPa) [7].

On the off chance that the relocation is the width of the nasal extension, at any rate, this can prompt C-molded break in the hard and cartilaginous septum. Given the cozy relationship of the hard and cartilaginous bits of the nose with the nasal septum, it is uncommon to see breaks of either structure with no harm to the next. Because of the more noteworthy thickness of the cartilaginous septum where it is appended to its bony structure, there is an alternate protection from damage along these extended supports contrasted with the more focal and dorsal sections [8].

Low-velocity wounds, as a rule, lead to septal cracks or disengagements along the vomerine groove; high-speed wounds or frontal effects bring about progressively broad septal breaks through the slim focal district of the quadrangular ligament. Since the septal ligament is versatile, it was expected that after a C-molded crack had happened, the break edges would cover instead of realign. In portraying the life structures of nasal cracks tentatively created in 15 dead bodies, Harrison recognized a repetitive example of septal break and dislodging as the reason for the poor result of control. A broke septum horribly influences the arrangement of the nasal bone during the recuperating procedure [8].

Clinical assessment

A wide range of and regularly complex strategies have been proposed for grouping nasal-septal cracks, yet the clinically most appropriate comprehension of a given nasal break rises up out of every patient's history. The trouble in treating broke noses lies in the underlying finding of the break. Variables to consider in patients with damage of the nasal pyramid are as per the following: Reason for the injury (the 4-primary driver of nasal injury are close to home ambush, sport wounds, individual mishaps, and street mishaps) History of past facial wounds any earlier nasal distortion! History of nasal impediment the patient's concept of the first shape is regularly off base and edema may cover bone deviation [9].

The second step that the specialist must take is a cautious physical assessment since choices about whether treatment is required, the system to utilize (open versus shut decrease), and proper anesthesia (neighborhood versus general) all rely upon the clinical discoveries, for instance, the level of hard deformation (horizontal or discouraged), the nearness of cartilaginous (inner or outside) distortion, and the delicate tissue damage (mucosal gash, delicate tissue expanding, epistaxis, septal or orbital hematoma, and subcutaneous emphysema). Specifically, a total appraisal of the nasal septum is of fundamental significance in deciding tasteful and utilitarian result in nasal breaks. The utilization of x-beams in patients with straightforward nasal injury is normal however of constrained worth [10].

Choices with respect to the treatment of nasal injury depend on clinical discoveries, and nasal bone radiography has no spot in the basic leadership process, so it ought to be surrendered. As of late, a few creators have brought up that nasal endoscopy is required for the best possible evaluation of broken noses. A topical anesthesia gets the job done to play out a full waking assessment of the patient's nasal pit. The inflexible nasal endoscope is utilized to assess the whole septum (particularly the back hard septum and vomerine districts) and check for other endonasal pathologies after nasal wounds [11].

Treatment

Nasal cracks are overseen in various manners, contingent upon the specialist's inclination, medical clinic conventions, careful strength, and pragmatic reasons. In instances of nasal break, there are 3 significant angles to consider to guarantee the best treatment, that is, the planning of treatment, the decision of proper analgesic (nearly or general), and careful procedure (open or shut decrease). There are various suppositions about the most proper planning of treatment. A few wounds require quick consideration, others are better treated in postponed design [12]. For example, septal hematomas require quick clearing and seepage on the grounds that, on the off chance that they are left untreated, they can prompt corruption and puncturing of the septal ligament, or they may get composed, coming about in subperichondrial fibrosis and thickening with fractional nasal aviation route check. In the event that the patient is found in the initial 3 to 6 hours (before huge contorting edema sets in), decrease of the broken nose ought to be performed right away. Delicate tissue edema typically veils gentle to-direct nasal crack and ruins any prompt shut decrease, so the patient must be reassessed 3 to 4 days after the fact [13].

Staffel focused on the significance of treating nasal bone breaks inside about fourteen days of the damage in light of the fact that early outcomes in patients treated later on unmistakably showed that, thereafter, it got difficult to fix the nose, even on the table^[14].

Different creators have suggested playing out the decrease inside 10 days of the injury for grown-ups and inside 7 days for kids (bone mending may happen all the more rapidly in the pediatric populace). Progressively extreme wounds, for example, open cracks and wounds with net outer deformations, require quick medical procedure. Shut nasal crack decrease should be possible under neighborhood or general anesthesia, contingent upon the specialist's inclination. Numerous imminent arrangements in the writing think about the 2 methods^[15].

The general agreement is that nearby anesthesia is similarly as successful, for practical and stylish result, as general anesthesia for controlling of nasal breaks. Control under nearby anesthesia is an increasingly prudent well-endured option, in contrast, to decrease under general anesthesia. As a rule, the signs for shut decrease in grown-up patients are:

1. one-sided or two-sided nasal bone crack and
2. Crack of the nasal-septal complex with nasal deviation not exactly a large portion of the width of the nasal scaffold.

The signs for open decrease are:

1. broad break disengagement of the nasal bones and septum,
2. nasal pyramid deviation surpassing one a large portion of the width of the nasal extension,
3. break and separation of the caudal septum,
4. open septal break, and
5. Tireless deformation after shut decrease. In shut decrease under neighborhood anesthesia, a topical intranasal arrangement utilizing pledgets doused with a vasoconstrictive specialist (ie, 1:100 000 epinephrine) and an analgesic (ie, 4% cocaine or 2% lidocaine) are utilized for nasal mucosa anesthesia and hemostasis^[16].

This is enhanced with outer penetration with an answer containing 1% lidocaine (or 2% lignocaine, or 0.5% bupivacaine) and 1:100 000 epinephrine (or 1:240 000 adrenaline) to anesthetize the supratrochlear nerves, infraorbital nerves, and nasal dorsum. Oral or intravenous sedation might be given to upgrade the anesthesia. Computerized weight might be all that is expected to diminish the deformation in basic nasal cracks.

Instrumentation is required when increasingly confounded breaks happen: the Walsham forceps are intended to manage affected nasal bones, while the Asch forceps are appropriate for decreasing the nasal septum, however they are likewise helpful in reestablishing the arrangement of affected nasal bones^[16].

To maintain a strategic distance from the mucosal harm brought about by these instruments, a few specialists like to utilize a less horrendous Boies lift. In open decrease, the decision of a given usable system is directed by the patient's kind of crack or separation. The septum is commonly drawn closer through a Hemi transfixated entry point on the disengagement. Further access to the break line is increased through a horizontal entomb cartilaginous entry point. The dorsal skin is lifted off the upper sidelong ligament and the periosteal is pulled away from the nasal bones. Septoplasty or submucosal resection is performed if serious septal crack is found. Submucosal resection includes broad resection of the ligament and bone, including some portion of the vomer and part of the opposite plate of the ethmoid, while septoplasty is a tissue-saving technique^[17].

A dislodged maxillary peak regularly must be expelled totally. Radical ligament or bone resection is evaded to save support and breaking point fibrosis and contracture. It might be important to receive an early full septorhinoplasty approach in the underlying treatment of nasal cracks to contain the paces of disfigurement/deterrent after the main activity. Outer supports and nasal pressing are regularly utilized postoperatively to settle and secure the decrease^[18].

Method

An electronic study was planned utilizing the Google records online review creation device. A duplicate of the last study is appeared in Fig. 1. The review recognized respondent's years practically speaking, occupant versus board guaranteed otolaryngologist, the quantity of cracks normally experienced, and the nearness of imaging before interview. Questions were fused to decide the job imaging plays in the expert's administration plan (for example recurrence, methodology, and purposes behind solicitation). 200 overviews were appropriated to a few otolaryngology preparing program chiefs in Iraq just as related personnel and inhabitants at their separate organizations.

The review information and socioeconomics were breaking down utilizing expressive measurements. The essential result measure was the recurrence, type, and utility of radiographic imaging in the work-up of secluded nasal breaks.

1. How long have you been practicing otolaryngology?

- a. More than 10 years post residency/fellowship training
- b. 5-10 years post residency/fellowship training
- c. 1-5 years post-residence/fellowship training
- d. In residency/fellowship PGY-5
- e. In residency/fellowship PGY-4
- f. In residency/fellowship PGY-3
- g. In residency/fellowship PGY-2
- h. Other

2. How often do you encounter nasal fractures in your practice?

- a. More than 10 cases per month
- b. 5-10 cases per month
- c. 1-5 cases per month
- d. 1 case or less
- e. never

3. How often is imaging already performed prior to consultation with your service?

- a. Always
- b. Often
- c. Sometimes
- d. Rarely
- e. Never

4. If imaging is performed prior to consultation, what type of study is most commonly completed?

- a. Plain films
- b. CT maxillofacial/sinus
- c. MRI
- d. Other (please elaborate)

5. If imaging was ordered prior to consultation, how often does this direct treatment planning?

- a. Always
- b. Often
- c. Sometimes
- d. Rarely
- e. Never

6. How often do you ask for imaging?

- a. Always
- b. Often
- c. Sometimes
- d. Rarely
- e. Never

7. Why do you request imaging?

- a. Operative decision making
- b. Classify fractures
- c. Medico-legal implications
- d. Other (please elaborate)

8. What imaging study if desired do you ask for?

- a. Plain films
- b. CT face/sinus
- c. MRI
- d. Other(please elaborate)

Results

Otolaryngology practice experience is abridged in (46%) respondents were in scholastic or private practice while the staying (49%) were otolaryngology occupants. Most of respondents (48%) had at least 10 years of injury experience post-residency/cooperation preparing. Most respondents (46%) treated somewhere in the range of 1 and 5 nasal bone breaks for each month.

Altogether, 70% revealed imaging being 'consistently/frequently' acquired preceding otolaryngology interview. In particular, 39% announced getting plain movies, while 71% detailed earlier CT imaging. In the occasion that imaging was wanted, (89%) mentioned maxillofacial/sinus CT. (68%) inhabitants revealed that imaging was useful in their administration of nasal cracks which was factually higher than (28%) rehearsing otolaryngologists who discovered imaging accommodating ($p < 0.001$).

(63%) of occupants and (19%) of rehearsing otolaryngologists announced requesting imaging when it was not gotten. Likewise, (23%) respondents announced 'never' getting imaging when counseled, while (43%) 'seldom' acquire imaging.

Discussion

This examination shows that otolaryngologists don't routinely arrange radiographic imaging to survey separated nasal injury. This backings past discoveries by Logan *et al.* who compactly exhibited that radiography once in a while influences the treatment plan for those with a separated nasal break^[19].

On account of complex facial injury, CT discoveries frequently influence the executive's techniques. In any case, current writing more than once underlines that CT imaging isn't shown for detached nasal bone breaks except if attendant indications or physical test discoveries warrant an increasingly strong work up^[20].

In spite of these proposals, this examination recommends that in current practice, CT maxillofacial/sinus checks are still routinely acquired for disconnected nasal injury before otolaryngology interview. While CT is the favored methodology to survey complex facial injury, experts detailed constrained utility of CT imaging for disengaged nasal breaks, and otolaryngologists overwhelmingly declined further imaging to assess a nasal bone crack. Of the respondents who 'never' acquired imaging, the larger part was board confirmed otolaryngologists with more than 5 years of experience. Moreover, as the quantity of nasal cracks seen every month expanded, the utility of radiography diminished in our review. This discovering again recommends that clinical experience favors physical assessment instead of imaging when diagnosing breaks. The utilization of plain movies in disconnected nasal bone breaks is another significant radiographic practice that has been considered before. Craniomaxillofacial injury contemplates have stressed that plain movies have exceptionally restricted use in the finding and treatment of confined nasal breaks^[21].

Plain movies have been appeared to have high bogus positive rates for nasal crack and septal deviation, and they can be hard to decipher for radiologists and experts the same.

Likewise, nasal wounds are every now and again connected with cartilaginous separations and divisions which go undetected on plain movies. Sharp and Denholm led an imminent report breaking down the utility of plain film imaging in the administration of detached nasal injury. The creators neglected to distinguish a situation where the movies modified treatment plan. Their discoveries correspond emphatically with the aftereffects of our overview. Their investigation prompted a key change in medical clinic arrangement with a making-of 'no x-beam strategy' for separated nasal injury. Given that the nasal bone is among the most, if the not the most, normally cracked bone in the human body, this straightforward change in disposing of directing radiographic imaging, whenever applied on a more extensive scale, could prompt huge decrease in both expense and radiation presentation^[22]. The standard treatment for a messed-up nose has been shut decrease since vestige. The shut decrease of a dislodged nasal crack under neighborhood anesthesia is the favored

strategy at different otolaryngology, ENT, and plastic medical procedure divisions.

For what reason do specialists like to play out a shut decrease? What spot do the other care systems have in the administration of nasal bone breaks? The frequency of post reduction nasal distortions requiring rhinoplasty or septorhinoplasty ranges from 14% to half. This inconvenience can be counteracted by legitimate early determination and intercession. There have been clashing assessments in the past with regards to the best approaches to deal with the nasal bone breaks, which run from open decrease under general anesthesia to shut decrease under nearby anesthesia^[23].

In 1980, in their planned investigation on the adequacy of nasal control in an associate of 311 patients with nasal wounds, Murray and Maran announced a 30% to 41% disappointment rate, as estimated by nasal deviation 3 months postoperatively. In any case, just 13% of the nasal breaks controlled required further medical procedure to the nose, demonstrating that 20% to 30% of patients whose nose appeared to the specialist to be veered off were content with the final product.

A similar establishment accordingly performed 2 preliminaries (bolstered by body thinks about) in which patients with trauma-induced nasal deviation were designated to either control or control in addition to septal ligament extraction (shut or open decrease).

The two preliminaries created factually critical outcomes demonstrating that open decrease was the best treatment for patients with a deviation of the greater part the scaffold width of the nose. Deviation of the nasal septum had been ensnared by numerous creators as a reason for tireless distortion after injury, and endeavors to control the digressed septa were not fruitful^[24].

It was along these lines proposed that essential septoplasty be done on chosen instances of nasal crack where the septum had been digressed. In spite of the fact that exploration had recommended that open decrease (with essential septoplasty) may be required to guarantee great outcomes, in nations, for example, the Assembled Realm, by far most of cracked noses were just controlled under general anesthesia.

Expanding pressure on restricted emergency clinic assets drove ENT specialists to think about whether these breaks could be decreased under nearby anesthesia in outpatients without impairment to the outcomes. In 1988, Watson *et al.*, in a randomized, planned, single visually impaired examination, thought about the result of neighborhood and general analgesic methods in 40 patients with late nasal breaks requiring control. The outcomes indicated that control of the nasal bones under nearby anesthesia was adequate to practically all patients and yielded corrective and useful outcomes in the same class as control under general anesthesia. Additionally, this strategy offered the most profitable mix of security, cost, and result. Further examinations recommended that basic nasal bone breaks could be treated on introduction, 7 to 15 days after the damage, at outpatient divisions by control under neighborhood anesthesia, creating results similar with those acquired by control under general anesthesia. Given the high frequency of such wounds, the opportunity to utilize control under nearby anesthesia spoke to a critical sparing in working room time and bed inhabitance, just as keeping away from the hazard identified with a general analgesic.

Concerning the strategies for instigating neighborhood anesthesia, the inward strategy was fundamentally progressively agonizing and offered no focal points to the patient in postoperative aviation route patency or restorative appearance over the actually simpler outer technique^[25].

Anesthesia of the outside nose was accomplished by two-sided percutaneous penetration over the entire of the hard dorsum with an aggregate of 4 mL of 0.5% bupivacaine and 1:200 000 adrenaline, showering the nasal mucosa with 2 mL of 10% cocaine arrangement. To defeat any inconvenience in patients experiencing neighborhood anesthesia, a few otolaryngologists proposed an intravenous sedation with midazolam before playing out the nearby penetration of the nose.

An option in contrast to the outer percutaneous technique included the use of a eutectic blend of nearby soporific cream, containing lignocaine and prilocaine, to the nasal extension to incite topical skin anesthesia, with corresponding intranasal cocaine, before lessening the nasal break as vital. This strategy offered a comparative result in cosmesis and aviation route patency, however, was fundamentally less agonizing than control utilizing a percutaneous invasion of nearby soporific^[26].

In their planned randomized investigation looking at the aftereffects of 33 nasal bone crack controls under neighborhood anesthesia, Houghton *et al.* demonstrated that outer splint of the nose with mortar of Paris was of minimal down to earth advantage. Shut decrease under nearby anesthesia had its disadvantages. Patients with critical septal injury were precluded for this sort of treatment; indeed, any method that may have brought about epistaxis, requiring a nasal pack and a medium-term remain in medical clinic, was dodged.

In 2003, in an imminent report on 91 new patients with nasal wounds treated at the Nasal Break Facility, Leicester, UK, over a 3-month time frame, Wild *et al.* said that the signs for nasal bone crack decrease under general anesthesia are wounds in kids, seriously discouraged cracks, and a patient's close to home inclination. Shut decrease gives acceptable treatment in most nasal cracks, however, open decrease is frequently an increasingly suitable decision.

Certain rhinoplastic methods are protected to perform while fixing the broken nose, and applied per convention, yield a superior level of excellent results for the specialist than shut decrease alone. Different variables supporting early rhinoplastic methodology, where demonstrated, are the specialized troubles that specialists experience on amendment rhinoplasty for horrendous nasal deformation and the thought that most patients are normally reluctant to experience a second activity^[27].

While treating patients with a cracked nose, it is important that there is frequently an error between the target and emotional evaluation of the nose's appearance. In his writing audit of arrangement of shut decreases after nasal cracks, Staffel uncovered that patients were fulfilled a normal of 79% of the time, though specialists were fulfilled just 37% of the time. What a specialist may make a decision to be an anatomical deviation isn't constantly seen by the patient as an inadmissible outcome, and the achievement or disappointment of the method ought not be made a decision on that score alone^[28].

Wild *et al.* expressed that patient fulfillment remains the most significant proportion of result. Staffel brought up that he would say, patients with nasal bone cracks are less

requesting than corrective rhinoplasty patients. Follow-up is major to the ultimate result of the treatment of nasal bone wounds. In the wake of repositioning, broke nasal bones can move because of further minor injury or float back toward their situation before the decrease, consequently, the significance of exploring patients after nasal crack decrease, rather than releasing them without development (a typical practice). Nasal crack patients additionally will, in general, be less fastidious in their follow-up than rhinoplasty patients. Rubinstein and Solid said that patients who have experienced open decrease through septorhinoplasty ought to be followed up for 6 to a year postoperatively to guarantee that sufficient outcomes are gotten^[29].

Conclusions

Each exertion must be made to find out the seriousness of nasal bone and septal damage by methods for an intensive investigation and physical assessment. There is no uncertainty that septal damage in relationship with nasal bone break is the primary driver of postoperative nasal distortion and hindrance. Control is a compelling first-line treatment for straightforward nasal cracks without critical septal injury. The aftereffects of straightforward nasal break decrease under neighborhood anesthesia are practically identical with those gotten under general anesthesia (additionally in its acknowledgment by the patient). Control of the cracked nose under nearby anesthesia offers a few favorable circumstances, that is, it keeps away from the dangers of general anesthesia, it is progressively advantageous for patients and medical clinic staff, it forestalls any deferral among damage and control, and it is more affordable. Decrease of the broke nasal bone under nearby anesthesia should subsequently become standard practice. Rules and graduated conventions are expected to contain the quantity of modification rhinoplasties for horrendous nasal distortions and to advance the administration of intense nasal cracks.

References

1. Turner BG, Rhea JT, Thrall JH, Small AB, Novelline RA. Trends in the use of CT and radiography in the evaluation of facial trauma, 1992-2002: Implications for current costs. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2004; 183(3):751-4.
2. Logan M, O'Driscoll K, Masterson J. The utility of nasal bone radiographs in nasal trauma. *Clin Radiol.* 1994; 49(3):192-4.
3. Alvi A, Doherty T, Lewen G. Facial fractures and concomitant injuries in trauma patients. *Laryngoscope.* 2003; 113(1):102-6.
4. Holt GR. Biomechanics of nasal septal trauma. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am.* 1999; 32(4):615-9.
5. Carvalho TB, Cancian LR, Marques CG, Piatto VB, Maniglia JV, Molina FD. Six years of facial trauma care: an epidemiological analysis of 355 cases. *Braz J Otorhinolaryngol.* 2010; 76(5):565-74.
6. Rohrich RJ, Adams Jr WP. Nasal fracture management: minimizing secondary nasal deformities. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2000; 106(2):266-73.
7. Anderson PJ. Fractures of the facial skeleton in children. *Injury.* 1995; 26(1):47-50.
8. East CA, O'Donoghue G. Acute nasal trauma in children. *J Pediatr Surg.* 1987; 22(4):308-10.

9. Dickson MG, Sharpe DT. A prospective study of nasal fractures. *J Laryngol Otol.* 1986; 100:543-51.
10. Crowther JA, O'Donoghue GM. The broken nose: does familiarity breed neglect? *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* 1987; 69:259-60.
11. Walshe P, Harney M, McConn Walsh R. Manipulation of nasal bone fractures under local anaesthetic. *Ir Med J.* 2003; 96:50-1.
12. Murray JAM, Maran AGD. The treatment of nasal injuries by manipulation. *J Laryngol Otol.* 1980; 94:1405-10.
13. Chou C, Chen CW, Wu YC, Chen KK, Lee SS. Refinement treatment of nasal bone fracture: a 6-year study of 329 patients. *Asian J Surg.* 2015; 38(4):191-8.
14. Murray JAM, Maran AGD. The treatment of nasal injuries by manipulation. *J Laryngol Otol.* 1980; 94:1405-10.
15. Crowther JA, O'Donoghue GM. The broken nose: does familiarity breed neglect? *Ann R Coll Surg Engl.* 1987; 69:259-60.
16. Fry HJH. The importance of the septal cartilage in nasal trauma. *Br J Plast Surg.* 1967; 20:392-402.
17. Finkle DR, Ringler SL, Luttenton CR, Beernink JH, Peterson NT, Dean RE. *et al.* Comparison of the diagnostic methods used in maxillofacial trauma. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1985; 75(1):32-41.
18. Dickson MG, Sharpe DT. A prospective study of nasal fractures. *J Laryngol Otol.* 1986; 100:543-51.
19. Rohrich RJ, Adams Jr WP. Nasal fracture management: minimizing secondary nasal deformities. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2000; 106:266-73.
20. Mondin V, Rinaldo A, Ferlito A. Management of nasal bone fractures. *Am J Otolaryngol.* 2005; 26(3):181-5.
21. Adeyemo WL, Akadiri OA. A systematic review of the diagnostic role of ultrasonography in maxillofacial fractures. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2011; 40(7):655-61.
22. Kapoor PKD, Richards S, Dhanasekar G. Management of nasal injuries: a postal questionnaire survey of UK ENT consultants. *J Laryngol Otol.* 2002; 116:346-8.
23. Rajapakse Y, Courtney M, Bialostocki A. Nasal fractures: a study comparing local and general anaesthesia techniques. *ANZ J Surg.* 2003; 73:396-9.
24. Newton CRH, White PS. Nasal manipulation with intravenous sedation. Is it acceptable and effective treatment? *Rhinology.* 1998; 36:114-6.
25. El-Kholy A. Manipulation of the fractured nose using topical local anaesthesia. *J Laryngol Otol.* 1989; 103:580-1.
26. Jones TM, Nandapalan V. Manipulation of the fractured nose: a comparison of local infiltration and topical local anaesthesia. *Clin Otolaryngol.* 1999; 24:443-6.
27. El-Kholy A. Manipulation of the fractured nose using topical local anaesthesia. *J Laryngol Otol.* 1989; 103:580-1.
28. Logan M, O'Driscoll K, Masterson J. The utility of nasal bone radiographs in nasal trauma. *Clin Radiol.* 1994; 49:192-4.
29. Fernandes SV. Nasal fractures: the taming of the shrewd. *Laryngoscope.* 2004; 114:587-92.
30. Ridder GJ, Boedeker CC, Fradis M. Technique and timing for closed reduction of isolated nasal fractures: a retrospective study. *Ear Nose Throat J.* 2002; 81:49-54.
31. Houghton DJ, Hanafi Z, Papakostas K. Efficacy of external fixation following nasal manipulation under local anaesthesia. *Clin Otolaryngol.* 1998; 23:169-71.