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Abstract
Diabetes is very common predisposing factor for UTI. The fact that asymptomatic bacteriuria is more common in females with diabetes as compared to males with diabetes. Asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) is defined as When a bacterial count of same species over $10^5$ per ml in mild stream clean catch specimen of urine on two occasion is detected without the symptom of urinary infection. Significant bacteriuria detected by urinary culture, without symptoms attributable to urinary tract like burning micturition, frequent micturition, urinary incontinence, urgency, painful micturition, suprapubic pain, flank pain or fever. Asymptomatic and symptomatic bacteriuria are more common in females with diabetes. Asymptomatic bacteriuria may be precursor for symptomatic bacteriuria. The UTI ranges from asymptomatic bacteriuria to lower cystitis, pyelonephritis, xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis, renal abscess, perinephric abscess, and papillary necrosis. Asymptomatic bacteriuria seems to be non eradicable, recurring in case of diabetic females.
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Introduction
Diabetes Mellitus popularly known as sugar problem among the people of India. It is a chronic, non-communicable, widespread, multiorgan disease. The diseases affects the pancreas insulin activity leading to raised blood glucose level. The insulin is either reduced or inactive. The population affected by diabetes is increasing due to population growth, aging, urbanization, obesity and physical inactivity. The prevalence of disease will increase worldwide from 171 million in 2000 to 366 million in 2030 [1]. It is a multiorgan dysfunction disease. People affected with diabetes mellitus have significant chances of getting urinary tract infections as compared to non diabetic persons [3]. And it has also been stated that diabetic people suffer from more complications compared to non diabetics. Common infections in diabetic patients includes respiratory infections, urinary infections, ear infections, soft tissue infections and abdominal problems. Diabetes mellitus has a range of effects on various organ systems [1] a 1940 autopsy study showed that 18% of the subjects with diabetes had a urinary tract infection (UTI) [2]. Also diabetic patients are prone to asymptomatic UTI [4]. The spectrum of UTI in these patients ranges from asymptomatic bacteriuria (ASB) to lower UTI (cystitis), pyelonephritis, and severe urosepsis. Serious complications of UTI, such as emphysematous cystitis and pyelonephritis, renal abscesses and renal papillary necrosis, are all encountered more frequently in type 2 diabetes than in the general population [5, 7]. Type 2 diabetes is not only a risk factor for community-acquired UTI but also for health care-associated UTI [8], catheter-associated UTI [9] and post-renn transplant-recurrent UTI. In addition, these patients are more prone to have resistant pathogens as the cause of their UTI, including extended-spectrum β-lactamase-positive Enterobacteriaceae, fluoroquinolone-resistant uropathogens, carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae, and vancomycin-resistant Enterococci. Type 2 diabetes is also a risk factor for fungal UTI, mostly caused by Candida. 21 Diabetes is also associated with worse outcomes of UTI, including longer hospitalizations and increased mortality.

Aims and Objectives
To the outcomes of asymptomatic bacteriuria in patients with diabetes mellitus.
Materials and Methods
This study was done in the Department of General Medicine, Srinivas Institute of Medical Sciences, Mangalore. The study was done from April 2017 to April 2019. The patients sample size was 100.

Inclusion Criteria
- The history of the patients should include a follow up sheet at 3rd and 6th month.
- Culture showed positive history in records

Exclusion criteria
- Patients on immunodeficiency, steroid therapy or immune modulator drugs.
- Without complete follow up history.

Results

Table 1: Mean age of the Subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Age</th>
<th>Std deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>61±38 years</td>
<td>± 16.27 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1: Sex Distribution

Table 2: Outcomes: At 3 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptomatic UTI</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR</td>
<td>8.7±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microalbuminuria</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min/1.73²)</td>
<td>92.4±13.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Outcomes: At 6 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptomatic UTI</th>
<th>09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR</td>
<td>8.2±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microalbuminuria</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR (ml/min/1.73²)</td>
<td>91.74±07.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

All types of UTI are more frequent in patients with type 2 diabetes. Various studies have reported the overall incidence of UTI among these patients. An observational study of all patients with type 2 diabetes in the UK general practice research database found that the incidence rate of UTI was 46.9 per 1,000 person-years among diabetic patients and 29.9 for patients without diabetes. Women with previously diagnosed diabetes had a higher risk of UTI than those with recently diagnosed diabetes (within 6 months) (91.9/1,000 person-years; 95% confidence interval [CI] 84.3–99.4, vs 70.5/1,000 person-years; 95% CI 68.2–72.8). A cohort study of over 6,000 patients enrolled in ten clinical trials found an incidence rate of 91.5 per 1,000 person-years in women and 28 per 1,000 person-years in men, and a cumulative incidence of 2% during 6 months. A recent American study performed on a health service data base with more than 70,000 patients with type 2 diabetes found that 8.2% were diagnosed with UTI during 1 year (12.9% of women and 3.9% of men, with incidence increasing with age). Another American database study from 2014 found that a UTI diagnosis was more common in men and women with diabetes than in those without diabetes (9.4% vs 5.7%, respectively) among 89,790 matched pairs of patients with and without type 2 diabetes mellitus.

ASB is more prevalent in women, due to a short urethra that is in proximity to the warm, moist, vulvar, and perianal areas that are colonized with enteric bacteria. ASB increases with age, and is also associated with urinary tract abnormalities or foreign bodies (urethral catheters, stents, etc). Many studies have reported an increased prevalence of ASB in diabetic patients, with estimates ranging from 8%–26%. A meta-analysis of 22 studies, published in 2011, found a point prevalence of 12.2% of ASB among diabetic patients versus 4.5% in healthy control subjects. The point prevalence of ASB was higher both in women and men, was higher in patients with a longer duration of diabetes, and was not associated with glycemic status, as evaluated by glycosylated hemoglobin A₁c (HbA₁c). A recent prospective study of inpatients at an Indian hospital found a 30% prevalence rate of ASB among diabetic patients.

Conclusion

This study was successful to study the outcomes of asymptomatic bacteriuria in patients with diabetes mellitus. This would be a boon to the practising physicians and is intended to help the budding general practitioners.
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